RECEIVED
SUPREME COURT
STATE OF WASHINGTON
Feb 22, 2016, 3:32 pm
BY RONALD R. CARPENTER
CLERK

No. 925372

WH

IN THE SUPREME COURT

RECEIVED BY E-MAIL

OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

Court of Appeals No. 72533-5-I

HAITHAM JOUDEH,

Petitioner/Appellant,

VS.

PFAU COCHRAN VERTETIS AMALA, PLLC, a Washington Professional Limited Liability Company d/b/a PFAU COCHRAN VERTETIS KOSNOFF, PLLC; DARRELL L. COCHRAN, Individually and on behalf of the Marital Community comprised of DARRELL L. COCHRAN and JANE DOE COCHRAN,

Respondents.

PETITIONER'S RAP 3.3 MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE THIS CASE WITH AUER v. LEACH, SUPREME COURT CASE NO. 927782

Brian J. Waid WSBA No. 26038 Jessica M. Creager WSBA No. 42183 WAID LAW OFFICE 5400 California Ave. S. W., Ste D Seattle, Washington 98136 Counsel for Petitioner/Appellant

I. <u>Identity of Moving Party:</u>

Petitioner Haitham Joudeh was the plaintiff in the underlying King County Superior Court legal malpractice case, and the appellant in the underlying Division I appeal.

II. Relief Sought:

Petitioner Joudeh asks the Court to consolidate this case, including his pending Petition for Review, with the similarly-pending Petition for Review in Auer v. Leach, Washington Supreme Court Case no. 927782, pursuant to RAP 3.3(b). Both cases raise the same, fundamental issue of how a legal malpractice plaintiff proves proximate cause, and more particularly whether the legal malpractice plaintiff must offer expert testimony to prove proximate cause. This fundamental issue is also pending in Slack v. Luke, a Division III Court of Appeals Case no. 32921-6. See n. 1, infra.

III. Parts of the Record Relevant to the Motion:

The parts of the Record in this case relevant to this Motion include:

- 1. Joudeh Petition for Review, p. 2 (Issue no. 1: "Consistent with Daugert v. Pappas, how does a legal malpractice victim prove causation in a legal malpractice action?").
- 2. Joudeh Petition for Review, pp. 10-13 ("Victims of legal malpractice prove causation through inferences drawn from Evidence in

the trial-within-the-trial").

3. Court of Appeals Opinion, Appendix A to *Joudeh* Petition for Review, pp. 9-12.

In addition, the following parts of the Record in *Auer v. Leach*,

Washington Supreme Court Case no. 927782 are relevant to this Motion:¹

- 1. Auer Petition for Review, pp. 1-2, 11-13 (Is expert testimony required to establish proximate cause)?
- 2. Court of Appeals Opinion in *Auer v. Leach*, Appendix B to *Auer* Petition for Review, pp. 18-24.
- 3. Motion to Publish filed by Attorneys Liability Protection Society in *Auer v. Leach*, Div. II Case no. 46105-6-II. See n. 1.

IV. STATEMENT OF GROUNDS FOR RELIEF SOUGHT

RAP 3.3(b) authorizes consolidation of cases pending in this Court if doing so "would save time and expense and provide for a fair review of the cases." The Petitions for Review in *Joudeh* and *Auer* both raise essentially the same, fundamental issue of how a legal malpractice plaintiff proves proximate cause. *Joudeh* Pet. for Rev. pp. 2, 10-13; *Auer* Pet. for Rev., pp. 1-2, 11-13. Indeed, the Motion to Publish, filed by the Attorneys Liability Protection Society ("ALPS") in the Division II *Auer* in

¹ To obviate any issue related to whether the Court can or should take judicial notice of pleadings from other cases, copies of the referenced pleadings from *Auer v. Leach* and *Slack v. Luke* are attached as Exhibits to the Declaration of Brian J. Waid submitted in support of this Motion.

case, explained that "this is an issue that frequently emerges in legal malpractice cases in Washington" and urged publication to "assist counsel in legal malpractice cases, as well as professional liability insurers like ALPS." Waid Decl., Ex. B, pp. 2, 3.

Furthermore, the Petitions for Review in *Joudeh* (Pet., pp. ii-iii) and *Auer* (Pet., p. ii), as well as the Answer to the Petition for Review in *Joudeh* (Ans., pp. 11-16), cite many of the same key Washington appellate decisions related to proximate cause in legal malpractice cases, as do the Court of Appeals opinions in both *Joudeh* (Opinion, pp. 9-12) and *Auer* (Opinion, pp. 19-24). These same issues and authorities are also currently under submission in the Division III case of *Slack v. Luke*, Case no. 32921-6,² which is the case referenced by Mr. Talmadge in the Motion to Publish in *Auer*. Waid Decl., Ex. B, pg. 2 ¶3.

The Petitions for Review in both *Joudeh*, a Division I case, and *Auer*, a Division II case, involve the same issue, *i.e.*, how a legal malpractice plaintiff proves proximate cause, and more particularly whether the legal malpractice plaintiff must offer expert testimony to prove proximate cause. The same issue is also currently awaiting decision in Division III.

² Pertinent excerpts from the appellate briefs in *Slack v. Luke* are attached as Exhibits C, D, and E to the Declaration of Brian J. Waid. See n. 1 above relative to ER 201(f).

The Court should therefore consolidate this case with Auer v. Leach, Washington Supreme Court Case no. 927782 because doing so will allow for the most efficient consideration of the issues involved, and will be fair to all parties.

DATED:

February 22, 2016.

WAID LAW OFFICE

BY:

BRIAN J. WAID WSBA No. 26038

JESSICA M. CREAGER

WSBA No. 42183

5400 California Ave. SW, Suite D

Seattle, Washington 98136 Telephone: 206-388-1926

Attorneys for Petitioner

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 22nd day of February, 2016, I caused a copy of the foregoing Petitioner's RAP 3.3(b) Motion to Consolidate This Case With *Auer v. Leach*, Washington Supreme Court Case No. 927782 to be delivered to Respondents, through their attorneys on the following in the manner indicated below:

Counsel for Respondents:

Jeffrey P. Downer

Spencer N. Gheen

Lee Smart, P.S., Inc.

One Convention Place, Suite 1800

701 Pike Street

Seattle, Washington 98101

(X) U.S. Mail

Hand Delivery

(X) Email

I further hereby certify that on this 22nd day of February, 2016, I caused a copy of the foregoing Petitioner's RAP 3.3(b) Motion to Consolidate This Case With *Auer v. Leach*, Washington Supreme Court

Case No. 927782 to be delivered to Counsel of Record in *Auer v. Leach*, through their attorneys on the following in the manner indicated below:

Counsel for Petitioners in Auer v. Leach:	
Brian H. Krikorian	(X) U.S. Mail
Law Offices of Brian Krikorian	() Hand Delivery
4100 194th Street SW, Suite 215	(X) Email
Lynnwood, Washington 98036	
Counsel for Respondents in Auer v. Leach:	
Philip Meade	(X) U.S. Mail
Merrick, Hofstedt & Lindsey, P.S.	() Hand Delivery
3101 Western Avenue, Suite 200	(X) Email
Seattle, Washington 98121	

Dated: February 22, 2016.

BRIAN J. WAID WSBA No. 26038

One of Petitioner's Attorneys

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

Court of Appeals No. 72533-5-I

HAITHAM JOUDEH,

Petitioner/Appellant,

vs.

PFAU COCHRAN VERTETIS AMALA, PLLC, a Washington Professional Limited Liability Company d/b/a PFAU COCHRAN VERTETIS KOSNOFF, PLLC; DARRELL L. COCHRAN, Individually and on behalf of the Marital Community comprised of DARRELL L. COCHRAN and JANE DOE COCHRAN,

Respondents.

DECLARATION OF BRIAN J. WAID IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER'S RAP 3.3(b) MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE THIS CASE WITH WITH AUER v. LEACH, SUPREME COURT CASE NO. 927782

Brian J. Waid WSBA No. 26038 Jessica M. Creager WSBA No. 42183 WAID LAW OFFICE 5400 California Ave. S. W., Ste D Seattle, Washington 98136 Counsel for Petitioner Brian J. Waid, under penalty of perjury, testifies as follows:

- 1. I am one of the attorneys of record for Petitioner/Appellant Haitham Joudeh in the above-captioned matter, and make this Declaration as authorized by RPC 3.7, based on my personal knowledge.
- 2. Attached hereto, marked as Exhibit A and incorporated by this reference, is a true and accurate copy of the Petition for Review filed in *Auer v. Leach*, Washington Supreme Court Case no. 927782. A copy of the Court of Appeals opinion issued in that case is attached to the Petition for Review as an Appendix.
- 3. Attached hereto, marked as Exhibit B and incorporated by this reference, is a true and accurate copy of the Motion to Publish filed by Attorneys Liability Protection Society ("ALPS") in *Auer v. Leach*, Washington Court of Appeals, Division II Case no. 46105-6-II.
- 4. Attached hereto, marked as Exhibit C and incorporated by this reference, is a true and accurate copy of an **excerpt** from the Brief of Appellant filed in the case entitled *Slack v. Luke*, Washington Court of Appeals, Division III Case no. 32921-6, consisting of the title page, pp. i-v, and pp. 1-2, 20-26.
- 5. Attached hereto, marked as Exhibit D and incorporated by this reference, is a true and accurate copy of an **excerpt** from the Brief of Respondents filed in the case entitled *Slack v. Luke*, Washington Court of

Appeals, Division III Case no. 32921-6, consisting of the title page, pp. i-vi, and pp. 1-2, 16-23.

6. Attached hereto, marked as Exhibit E and incorporated by this reference, is a true and accurate copy of an **excerpt** from the Reply Brief of Appellant filed in the case entitled *Slack v. Luke*, Washington Court of Appeals, Division III Case no. 32921-6, consisting of the title page, pp. i-iv, and pp. 3-10.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: February 22, 2016.

/s/ Brian J. Waid

BRIAN J. WAID, WSBA N//. 26038

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 22nd day of February, 2016, I caused a copy of the foregoing Declaration of Brian J. Waid in Support of Petitioner's RAP 3.3(b) Motion to Consolidate This Case With Auer v. Leach, Washington Supreme Court Case No. 927782 to be delivered to Respondents, through their attorneys on the following in the manner indicated below:

Counsel for Respondents:
Jeffrey P. Downer
Spencer N. Gheen
Lee Smart, P.S., Inc.
One Convention Place, Suite 1800
701 Pike Street
Seattle, Washington 98101

(X) U.S. Mail

) Hand Delivery

(X) Email

I hereby certify further that on this 22nd day of February, 2016, I caused a copy of the foregoing Petitioner's RAP 3.3(b) Motion to Consolidate This Case With Auer v. Leach, Washington Supreme Court Case No. 927782 to be delivered to Counsel of Record in Auer v. Leach, through their attorneys on the following in the manner indicated below:

Counsel for Petitioners in Auer v. Leach:	
Brian H. Krikorian	(X) U.S. Mail
Law Offices of Brian Krikorian	() Hand Delivery
4100 194 th Street SW, Suite 215	(X) Email
Lynnwood, Washington 98036	
Counsel for Respondents in Auer v. Leach:	•
Philip Meade	(X) U.S. Mail
Merrick, Hofstedt & Lindsey, P.S.	() Hand Delivery
3101 Western Avenue, Suite 200	(X) Email
Seattle, Washington 98121	

Dated: February 22, 2016.

WAID LAW OFFICE

BRIAN J. WAID

WSBA No. 26038

One of Petitioner's Attorneys

OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK

To:

shidalgo@waidlawoffice.com

Cc:

'Brian J. Waid'; 'Jessica Creager'

Subject:

RE: Filing in Joudeh v. Pfau Cochran Vertetis Amala, PLLC [Case No. 925372]

Received on 02-22-2016

Supreme Court Clerk's Office

Please note that any pleading filed as an attachment to e-mail will be treated as the original. Therefore, if a filing is by e-mail attachment, it is not necessary to mail to the court the original of the document.

From: Sarah Hidalgo [mailto:shidalgo@waidlawoffice.com]

Sent: Monday, February 22, 2016 3:23 PM

To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK < SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>

Cc: 'Brian J. Waid' <bjwaid@waidlawoffice.com>; 'Jessica Creager' <jcreager@waidlawoffice.com>

Subject: Filing in Joudeh v. Pfau Cochran Vertetis Amala, PLLC [Case No. 925372]

Good afternoon,

Please see the attached documents for filing in JOUDEH vs. PFAU COCHRAN VERTETIS AMALA, PLLC, a Washington Professional Limited Liability Company d/b/a PFAU COCHRAN VERTETIS KOSNOFF, PLLC; DARRELL L. COCHRAN, Individually and on behalf of the Marital Community comprised of DARRELL L. COCHRAN and JANE DOE COCHRAN [Case No. 925372].

A hardcopy of the Declaration Exhibits (A-E) will be mailed via USPS today.

Thank you,

Sarah K. Hidalgo Assistant to Brian J. Waid and Jessica Creager

Waid Law Office

5400 California Ave SW, Suite D Seattle, WA 98136 P: 206.388.1926 F: 206.388.1925 shidalgo@waidlawoffice.com

The Waid Law Office does not represent anyone without a written fee agreement. Review of potential matters does not create an attorney/client relationship. This communication is confidential pursuant to RPC 1.18(b).